

If your church leadership still supports Jeyakanth ...

If your church leaders recommend that Jeyakanth should still be supported, notwithstanding the detailed findings of the report issued on 23 August 2024 (hereafter, “the Report”), we would recommend that you put the following questions to them:

1. Is it wise, or even Biblical, lightly to set aside the solemn act of church discipline of a sister church? Until the problems with Jeyakanth had become apparent to them, the elders at Amyand Park Chapel (APC) had been among his most enthusiastic supporters, and it seems unlikely that they would have removed him from membership without good reason. Have you spoken to the pastor and elders at APC before rejecting their discipline?
2. Have you taken into account that the Council of Reference (hereafter “CofR”)’s statement was written in June, before the Report was published on 23 August, and that the main criticisms in the CofR statement are already answered in the Report (see section 9)? Further, have you taken into account that Jeyakanth gave a number of responses through the CofR to the allegations published in the draft report of 11th May 2024 which are reproduced and refuted in the final Report?
3. Are you aware that the CofR’s claim that David Cooke and Mark Mullins (hereafter “the authors”) had declined to meet them before threatening to publish the Report is false? Are you aware that within a week of returning from Sri Lanka the authors had written to the CofR expressing a desire to meet with them?
4. Are you confident that it is wise to dismiss the united testimony of over 30 witnesses in favour of a single witness who is a proven liar? Are you aware that Jeyakanth’s persistent lying was demonstrated to the CofR at the meeting of 22 May?
5. Are you aware that a pastor of another highly respected church has described the Report as, “Very welcome and very necessary, because it has enabled the victims’ voices to be heard for the first time”? Do you believe that dismissing the voice of victims accords well with Proverbs 31.9?
6. Are you aware that Muralee is just one of the many witnesses that were interviewed? Are you aware that the attempts of the CofR to present our report as simply the product of listening essentially to one man are unjustified? Have you read Muralee’s signed statements refuting the accusations made against him which the CofR have ignored?
7. Are you aware of the steps that were taken to ensure, so far as possible, that the witnesses’ evidence was not contaminated by others?
8. Do you think it wise to rely on men who have never interviewed the victims but always allow Jeyakanth the final word?
9. Are you aware that the reason that it was not possible to interview individuals supportive of Jeyakanth was owing to the non-co-operation of Jeyakanth himself? Are you further aware that when the authors sought the assistance both of the CofR and of the Chairman of CSL, to contact the Thamplagamum elders as they had been pressing the authors to do, they too were unwilling to co-operate with the authors?
10. Do you recognise the danger of burying your heads in the sand, because you do not want to face the possibility that you have been supporting a man who is a charlatan?