

# Supplementary Report on the Situation in Sri Lanka

## Executive Summary

*By David Cooke and Mark Mullins*

[The paragraph numbers below all relate to the paragraph numbers in the full Supplementary Report.]

### **1. Introduction**

1.1 & 1.2 During a ministry trip to Sri Lanka in January 2025, we had the opportunity to follow up on a few matters arising from our August 2024 Report.

1.3 This is necessary, because there are still some who believe that Jeyakanth is falsely accused, and in some cases they are suppressing evidence of his wrongdoing.

### **2 Matters arising from our Report of August 2024**

2.1 **Ganeshamoorthy:** We give further information, including details of how he was put under pressure to rescind his testimony by Jeyakanth. We note that he does seem very muddled as to the date on which things happened, but is very clear about what he saw.

2.2 **Muralee's Evidence:** The CofR have sought to undermine the testimony of Muralee by challenging his version of events in the matter of Raja (see Appendix 2 to our 2024 Report, paragraphs 23-26). However, we have now interviewed Raja and conclude that Muralee's testimony in this matter is fundamentally correct.

2.3 **The Church at Veeramanagar:** The church leaders at Veeramanagar explained to us that Jeyakanth has brought a legal case with a view to evicting them from their building, and has used bribes to buttress his case. It was further explained that the most likely outcome if Jeyakanth were to "win" his case would not be that he would regain control of the building, but rather that the authorities would simply close the church down, which we therefore conclude must be Jeyakanth's intention.

2.4 **Allegation of Sexual Immorality in 1990's:** In our hearing, Muralee and Bala spoke with Shanthi on the telephone. The conversation was recorded, and we have a transcript, in which Shanthi confirmed that after her first husband had died, Jeyakanth took advantage of her situation, as a result of which he made her pregnant. This was in the early 1990s when Jeyakanth was in his early 20's. This seems to have been the start of Jeyakanth's life of deception within the Reformed Baptist community.

### **3. New witnesses not mentioned in our 2024 Report**

3.1 **Former Employee of Jeyakanth:** Fernando had worked for Jeyakanth from 2021 to 2024. As time went on, he became increasingly uncomfortable that he was doing things that were wrong. These included paying bribes to government officials and the Police, and also seeking to cause trouble for those whom Jeyakanth perceived to be his enemies. He sought, and obtained, the forgiveness of the Veeramanagar church and of Missionary 1, whom he had reported to the Police. He believes that Jonathan Northern betrayed him by reporting him to Jeyakanth, although Jonathan denies this. Learning that Fernando had spoken to Missionary 1, Jeyakanth declared him an "opponent" and constructively dismissed him.

3.2 **Further Evidence of Immorality:** Mrs AB testified that in the mid-2000s Jeyakanth had sought to have an affair with her.

3.3 **Former LEFC Pastor:** A pastor from a neighbouring village to Veeramanagar concluded in around 2016/17 that Jeyakanth was a liar, but the Veeramanagar brethren had not believed him at that time.

#### **4. The Reaction of Jeyakanth's Supporters**

4.1 Sadly, there are still some who refuse to accept the clear evidence we have adduced.

4.2 We rebut the suggestion that witness Muralee "is the root of the problem".

4.3 While conceding some weaknesses in Ganeshamoorthy's testimony, we note that the CofR's attacks on him are without foundation.

4.4 The suggestion that the person Muralee and Bala spoke to on the phone was not Shanthi is without foundation.

4.5 Despite disputing certain aspects of Fernando's narrative the CofR does not dispute that Fernando had been convicted in his conscience over what he had been doing as Jeyakanth's henchman.

4.6 We note that the CofR does not currently dispute the testimony of Raja (which indicates that Jeyakanth is a liar), and that of Mrs AB (which indicates that he is an adulterer).

#### **5. Conclusion**

5.1 The additional evidence in this report only confirms and strengthens what we set out in our 2024 Report. Jeyakanth is not worthy of the support of UK churches.

5.2 We note that different brethren have taken different amounts of time to recognise and face up to the reality of Jeyakanth's deceit and other wrongdoing.

5.3 However, in the light of the further evidence above, we question how any church or Christian minister can now continue to maintain that Jeyakanth is worthy of support.

5.4 Some of the evidence indicates that CofR members have been complicit in Ganeshamoorthy changing his evidence under duress, and they have turned a blind eye to evidence that would force them to acknowledge Jeyakanth's wrongdoing.

5.5 We call upon these brethren to repent of their complicity in Jeyakanth's misdeeds.

5.6 We pray that this time Jeyakanth's supporters will take the findings of our Report seriously, and act upon it appropriately, and we commend this Report to its readers.

David Cooke and Mark Mullins

10 February 2025